

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

	)	<b>Chapter 11</b>
<b>In re:</b>	)	
	)	<b>Case No. 00-1982 (GMS)</b>
<b>GST TELECOM INC., et al.,</b>	)	
	)	<b>Jointly Administered</b>
<b>Debtors.</b>	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
<hr/>	)	
<b>GST TELECOM INC., et al.,</b>	)	
	)	<b>Civil Docket No. 04-CV-1380</b>
<b>Counterplaintiffs,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>JOHN WARTA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Counterdefendant.</b>	)	


**AMENDED NOTICE OF DEPOSITION**

<b>TO:</b>	Philip Trainer	Joseph Arellano
	Ricardo Palacio	KENNEDY WATTS ARELLANO &
	ASHBY & GEDDES	RICKS LLP
	222 Delaware Avenue	2850 Pacwest Center
	17 <sup>th</sup> Floor	1211 Southwest Fifth Avenue
	Wilmington, Delaware 19899	Portland, Oregon 97204

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 30, incorporated by reference in bankruptcy contested matters by Rule 7030 of the FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE, and the attached subpoena, GST Telecom Inc., by its counsel, will take the deposition of Mr. John Goodrich, commencing on April 5, 2005 at 9:30 a.m. Pacific time upon oral examination before a notary public or some other officer authorized by law to administer oaths. The deposition will be recorded by sound, visual and stenographic means. The

deposition will continue until completed, with such adjournments as may be necessary. The deposition will take place at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP, 135 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025.

Date: March 10, 2005



---

Steven M. Yoder (No. 3885)  
Christopher A. Ward (No. 3877)  
THE BAYARD FIRM  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900  
P.O. Box 25130  
Wilmington, Delaware 19899  
(302) 655-5000

-and-

David S. Heller  
William J. Gibbons  
Josef S. Athanas  
Michael J. Faris  
Danielle S. Kemp  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
Suite 5800 Sears Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 876-7700

Attorneys for the Debtors

AO88 (Rev. 1/94) Subpoena in a Civil Case

Issued by the  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GST Telecom, Inc. , et. al.

V.

John Warta

**SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE**Case Number:<sup>1</sup> Civil Docket No. 04-CV-1380

TO: John Goodrich

Pending in the United States District  
Court for the District of Delaware

- ☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and time specified below testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME

- ☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case.

PLACE OF DEPOSITION Latham & Watkins LLP, 135 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California 94205	DATE AND TIME April 5, 2005 at 9:30 a.m.
---	---

- ☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):

PLACE	DATE AND TIME
-------	---------------

- ☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
----------	---------------

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT) /s/ Danielle S. Kemp , Attorney for Plaintiff GST Telecom Inc.	DATE March 10, 2005
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONENUMBER Danielle S. Kemp, Latham & Watkins LLP, 5800 Sears Tower, Chicago, Illinois 60606	

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C &amp; D on next page)

<sup>1</sup> If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

AO88 (Rev. 1/94) Subpoena in a Civil Case

---

---

PROOF OF SERVICE

---

DATE

PLACE

SERVED

---

SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)

MANNER OF SERVICE

---

SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)

TITLE

---

---

DECLARATION OF SERVER

---

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on

DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d) (2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c) (3) (B) (iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend

trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.